UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

	FILED	
	AUG 1 2 2008	
CL SOUTH BY	ERK, U.S. DISTRION COU IERN DISTRICA DE COMALAR D	ROP O'RNAD! EPUTY

TO: 1	Whelan		
FROM: J. Paris,	CT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/1/08		
CASE NO.: 07cv227			
CASE TITLE: _ElAsal			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Request			
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
✓ Local Rule	Discrepancy		
5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation		
5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper		
5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.		
7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely		
7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document		
7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions		
7.1	Missing table of contents		
15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself		
30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order		
	Supplemental documents require court order		
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest		
X	OTHER:Case Closed		
	Date forwarded: 8/1/08		
IT IS HEREBY ORDE	ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
	to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.		
	•		
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.			
Rejected document	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers		
Counsel is advise to Local Rule 83.	d that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant		
to Local Rule 65.	CHAMPEDS OF THOMAS J. WINCOM		
Dated:	108 By: Law Clock		
cc: All Parties	,		